# EXHIBIT Q

1	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP	GREENBERG TRAURIG
2	B. Trent Webb, Esq. (pro hac vice)	Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086)
2	Eric Buresh, Esq. (pro hac vice)	Brandon Roos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 7888)
3	2555 Grand Boulevard	Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229)
	Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613 Telephone: (816) 474-6550	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North
4	Facsimile: (816) 421-5547	Las Vegas, NV 89169
5	bwebb@shb.com	Telephone: (702) 792-3773
	eburesh@shb.com	Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
6	<u> </u>	tratosm@gtlaw.com
7	Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (pro hac vice)	roosb@gtlaw.com
7	600 Travis Street, Suite 1600	godfreyl@gtlaw.com
8	Houston, Texas 77002	
	Telephone: (713) 227-8008	LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
9	Facsimile: (731) 227-9508	W. West Allen (Nevada Bar No. 5566)
10	rreckers@shb.com	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
10		Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
11		Tel: (702) 949-8200
10		Fax: (702) 949-8398 WAllen@LRLaw.com
12		WAlleli@EKLaw.com
13		
		Attorneys for Defendants
14		RIMINI STREET, INC. and SETH RAVIN
15		
16		
17		
1 /		
18		
10		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
		ı
21	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	
22	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	
	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation,	
23	CORPORATION, a Camorina corporation,	Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
<b>,</b> ,	Plaintiffs,	
24	<u> </u>	DEFENDANT RIMINI STREET INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
25	v.	PLAINTIFFS' THIRD SET OF
	DIMINI CEDEET INC. N. 1	REQUESTS FOR INSPECTION OF
26	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	DOCUMENTS AND THINGS
27	SETH RAVIN, an individual,	
<i>-</i> ′	Defendants.	
28		

5

7

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34, Rimini Street, Inc. ("Rimini Street"), by and through its undersigned counsel, responds and objects to Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp.'s ("Oracle") Third Set of Requests for Inspection of Documents and Things ("Requests").

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Rimini incorporates by reference its objections to Oracle's previous sets of written discovery requests.

# RESPONSES AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

## **REQUEST NO. 3:**

Rimini's SharePoint Site, accessed for inspection via Read-Only Credentials not expiring before the Close of Expert Discovery in This Lawsuit.

### **RESPONSE:**

Rimini Street objects to the Request in its entirety as overly broad and beyond the scope of permissible discovery. This request for unlimited and unsupervised access to Rimini's internal network is unreasonable and unwarranted. Though the request is submitted as a "request for inspection," in reality it seeks active log-in credentials to Rimini's intranet and internal document management system until well past the fact discovery deadline. This is not a proper "request for inspection" under the Federal Rules. Rimini also objects to the request as unduly burdensome in that it would be impractical (if not impossible) and cost-prohibitive for Rimini to review every document and electronic location encompassed by this request for privileged materials.

Rimini Street's SharePoint Site is the main source of information regarding its business operations. Allowing Oracle direct and ongoing access to Rimini Street's site would result in access to massive amounts of information that is not relevant to this matter. In addition, Rimini Street's responses to Oracle's Requests for Production of Documents have resulted in the production of thousands of responsive documents from Rimini Street's SharePoint Site, including native SharePoint files.

## Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 169-17 Filed 11/04/11 Page 4 of 5

1 Rimini Street will continue to produce documents from SharePoint that are requested by 2 Oracle and responsive to Oracle's document requests, but Rimini Street declines Oracle the 3 requested provide active log-in credentials to Rimini's intranet and internal document management 4 system. In addition, Rimini will meet-and-confer with Oracle regarding the appropriate production 5 methods for relevant information stored on SharePoint that Oracle asserts cannot be adequately reviewed using the production methods previously agreed to by the parties. 6 7 8 DATED: October 31, 2011 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON 9 /s/Robert H. Reckers\_ 10 By: Robert H. Reckers, Esq. 11 Attorney for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin

1314

12

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that the foregoing Response to Third Set of Requests for Inspection to Defendants was served on the 31<sup>th</sup> day of October 2011, via email, as indicated below. 3 4 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP 5 RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800 THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice) 6 Las Vegas, NV 89101 KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice) Telephone: (702) 382-7300 Three Embarcadero Center 7 Facsimile: (702) 382-2755 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 8 rpocker@bsfllp.com Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286 9 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP geoff.howard@bingham.com STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice) thomas.hixson@bingham.com 10 FRED NORTON (pro hac vice) kristen.palumbo@bingham.com KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 11 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 12 Oakland, CA 94612 ORACLE CORPORATION Telephone: (510) 874-1000 JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice) 13 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 500 Oracle Parkway sholtzman@bsfllp.com M/S 5op7 14 fnorton@bsfllp.com Redwood City, CA 94070 kringgenberg@bsfllp.com 15 Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7114 16 dorian.daley@oracle.com deborah.miller@oracle.com 17 jim.maroulis@oracle.com 18 19 20 By: /s/ Jeff Glidewell Paralegal for Robert H. Reckers, Esq. 21 Attorney for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' THIRD REQUEST FOR INSPECTION

4755084 v1